(3)

Single Bench

CA 20/

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

CONTEMPT PETITON NO. 29 /2004

APPLICANT / PETITIONER : Manoj Kumar Kashyap
S/o late Shri S.L. Kashyap,
aged about 29 years. Village &
PO. Marghati, Tah Malkharouda,
Distt.Janjgir Champa (C.G.)

F. P. No. 651/04
Filed by Shri Iver Srivastava
dated 08/03/04

Vs

NON-APPLICANTS/: CONTEMPNERS

1) Shri C.R. Khetan, Secretary,
Deptt. of Agriculture,
State of Chhattisgarh, D.K.S
Bhawan Raipur.

2) Shri L.J.V. Prasad, Director,
Agriculture, State of C.G. Raipur.

3) Shri L.V. Singh, Deputy Director,
Agriculture Deptt. Distt.Janjgir
Champa,C.G.

PRODUCED - COPY
8/3/04

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 215 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA READ WITH SEC.12/15 OF THE CONTEMPT OF COURTS ACT FOR INITIATING CONTEMPT PROCEEDINGS FOR VIOLATING ORDER DTD. ~~25.8.2003~~ 5.9.03 in W.P. No. 2700/03

(29)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR: CHHATTISGARH

Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, C.J.

Contempt Petition No.29 OF 2004

Petitioner Manoj Kumar Kashyap

Vs.

Respondent Shri C.R. Khetan and others

Present: Shri Vimlesh Bajpai, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri R.S.Marhas, counsel for respondents No.2 and 3.

ORAL ORDER
(Passed on 2nd March, 2007)

This Court, while disposing of Writ Petition No.2709 of 2003, by order dated 5-9-2003, had only directed the petitioner to file a representation before respondent No.2 and further respondent No.2 had been directed to consider the same, pass appropriate orders and communicate the same to the petitioner. Alleging that the respondents have not complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has filed this contempt petition before this Court.

2. Respondents No.2 and 3 have entered their appearance through learned counsel and have also filed their counter affidavits. Respondent No.2, in his counter affidavit, has stated on oath that after taking decision on the representation filed by the petitioner, the same has been communicated to the petitioner.

3. In my considered opinion, there is substantial compliance of the orders and directions issued by this Court. In that view, no further proceedings need be continued in this contempt petition. Accordingly, the contempt proceedings are dropped. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to question the correctness or otherwise of the orders

passed by the respondents pursuant to the representation filed by the petitioner, before the appropriate forum. Ordered accordingly.

Sd/-
Chief Justice